

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,005	02/08/2002	Hyo-Sang Jung	262/011	6445	
7:	590 09/15/2004	EXAMINER			
The Law Offices of Eugene M Lee, PLLC 1101 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 2000			PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
Arlington, VA	•		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(' /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7.
Advisory Action	10/068,005	JUNG, HYO-SANG	
navicely neglen	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply ch places the applicati	to a on in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later tha ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F 706.07(f).	sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2)	ion fee under as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or sim :	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: There are NO AMENDMENTS to be ente	ered.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed ar	nendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requestion requestion in condition for allows 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ance because: See Continuation	Sheet.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			d an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 8-10.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. ☐ Other:			
//Marian	m Hoff PRIM	ANNE PADGETT ARY EXAMINER	

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/068,005

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's assertion that Bunker's background disclosure is equivalent to fig.2's admitted prior art is not agreed with, since the col.2, lines 43-50 disclosure refer to using inert gas during either raising to atmosphere or pumping down to vacuum. One can not use inert gas while pumping down to vacuum unless one has started inputting that gas before the chamber is evacuated. Applicant's fig.2 does not make any reference to use of Ar during step 320, only during step 300, but Bunker's disclosure implies both. However if further evidence of known safety procedures is desired, see Kuwabara et al (6,156,657) cited as cumulative, where it is taught "Conventionally, when...flammable substance is sucked into the pump...must be purged slowly... before maintenance using an inactive gas... treated under an inactive gas atmosphere..."(about 16th paragraph), which appears to imply that input of inert gas & use of inert gas though out cleaning procedures involving potential flammables is standard operating procedure, thus even at atmospheric pressure one might expect the contaminated area being cleaned to be under inert gas, hence it is there before evacuation. One of ordinary skill who is diligent about safety procedures, and given Bunker's above discussed disclosure would have been expected to apply such conventional knowledge where potential flammability exists, especially given the already implies use of inert gas during pump down.